

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/745,959	LOBL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dean O Takaoka	2817	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Dean O Takaoka.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeffrey L. Kaplan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 February 2004

**Time:** 1:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted claim 13, dependent from claim 1, was incorrectly indicated as allowable in the prior office action. Claim 1 was cancelled by the Applicant's after final amendment and it was agreed to change dependency of claim 13 from claim 1 to claim 8 with appropriate changes to the preamble by Examiner's amendment in order to place the claims in condition for allowance..